

RECEIVED

JUN 28 1993

FCC MAIL ROOM

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

265 Norcrest Drive  
Rochester, New York 14618

June 20, 1993

RECEIVED

JUN 28 1993

Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C.  
20554

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

In the Matter of: DOCKET 93-61

Gentlemen:

I am opposing subject Docket to place the AVM Radio Service in with the many previous uses of 902 - 928 MHz.

I admit to having no data to back me up, however my opposition is from a "seat-of-the-pants" feel that adding the AVM Service will add such congestion to the band that the reliability of the present users and that of the proposed user will suffer. Technology is enabling fantastic uses of 902 - 928 MHz but it is not yet to the point where no co-user interference can even be implied, much less promised. The natural inclination of a purchaser of a system is that it is marketed as workable. Interference will make it un-workable especially in congested areas where it is most needed.

The 902 - 928 MHz band has many home devices in its space as well as wireless computer LAN's. In effect, by adding the AVM Service you are creating a battleground which will benefit no one.

I speak from experience as an amateur radio operator who uses most other bands. I often experience interference from other users who even when licensed and identifiable are hard to track down and convince they are making a problem. Amateurs sometimes make interference in devices that are not designed or installed well enough to exclude other legal signals. Neither we nor others, as legitimate users, deserve to be set up to experience more interference.

I suggest you drop this docket to examine another frequency area for such an important and valuable use as AVM. Perhaps the 200 MHz just freed can have space for such an important use and allow for expansion too.

*D. B. Hill*  
Dwight B. Hill,

c.c. American Radio Relay League

No. of Copies rec'd  
List ABCDE

*OK5*